arXiv:hep-th/9312003v1 1 Dec 1993

ON INDUCED GRAVITY IN 2-d TOPOLOGICAL THEORIES

D.AMATI

S.I.S.S.A.
Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, Trieste
and INFN, Sezione di Trieste

S.ELITZUR and E.RABINOVICI *

S.I.S.S.A.
Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, Trieste
and Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem

ABSTRACT

We study 2-d ϕF gauge theories with the objective to understand, also at the quantum level, the emergence of induced gravity. The wave functionals - representing the eigenstates of a vanishing flat potential - are obtained in the ϕ representation. The composition of the space they describe is then analyzed: the state corresponding to the singlet representation of the gauge group describes a topological universe. For other representations a metric which is invariant under the residual gauge group is induced, apart from possible topological obstructions. Being inherited from the group metric it is rather rigid.

Ref. S.I.S.S.A. 160/93/EP
Ref. RI/154(1993)
Ref. IAS-SNS-HEP-93/70

---

* *Presently at the Institute for Advanced Studies - Princeton*

A. Introduction.

It has often been suggested that the difficulties of gravity may be resolved by the "well doings" of a larger symmetry effective at small distances. It has been advocated [1], [2] that a non-metric - or topological - realization of general coordinate invariance (GCI) could be a possibility in this sense. In these approaches a metric, and with it Einstein gravity, would appear as a large distance effect of a semi-classical nature. This is essentially implemented by a non-symmetric classical configuration that would spontaneously break the higher symmetry (including a gauge group G). On such configurations a general coordinate transformation is implemented by a gauge one; in this manner the metric on the group induces a space-time metric.

This semi-classical approach is in general not sufficient to investigate the full quantum structure which is essential to understand how these induced metric theories may overcome the diseases of usual gravity. Much effort has been devoted to investigating 2 and 3-d models - mainly CS for 3-d [3] and ϕF [4] for 2-d, where the theory may be solved exactly at the quantum level. In this paper we reconsider the topological 2-d ϕF theory, discussing in some detail its gauge structure and determining the full quantum wave functional. All wave functionals have the same zero energy as should be in any topological theory; all these models thus have in common a flat potential structure.

In section B we study gauge theory aspects of the ϕF models. We solve them quantum mechanically in the $A_0 = 0$ gauge on a space circle (as well as an interval for the open string case). We discuss compact (simply connected and non simply connected) as well as non compact groups that are related to gravitational models and in which ϕ was given a target space coordinate role.

The wave functionals have support only for field configurations for which all group invariants are space independent. This allows a basis for the wave functionals whose members are in one to one correspondence with the highest weight representations of the group. In this basis each wave functional acquires a phase that has a geometrical meaning. The same phase may also serve as an action of a quantum mechanical system. These results are connected with those of a semiclassical limit of the 3-d C.S. theory [5].

The wave functional corresponding to the singlet of the group - and thus corresponding to a vanishing field ϕ - plays a special role among the possible vacua allowed by the flat potential. It describes a G invariant state. For all other wave functionals the gauge group G is broken down to a residual symmetry group H (generically composed of one-dimensional factors).

We also solve for the wave functionals in the presence of sources; the scalar field acts as the electric field does in the strong coupling phase of confining gauge theories. With the difference, however, that the flux string is tensionless.

In section C we turn to the gravitational interpretation of some of these results. The singlet configuration cannot describe a metric and thus represents a topological phase. For the other wave functionals - corresponding to non-singlet representations of the group G - a space time metric which is a scalar under the residual group H pops out both in target space and in world sheet. This is possible as long as the configuration contains fields ϕ with non- vanishing spatial derivatives. A constant field ϕ (defining target space as a single point) gives back a non-metric description as expected from the fact that it does not allow a differentiation among different points in space.

We confirm the results obtained in terms of wave functionals by evaluating an order parameter which was suggested [6] to distinguish different phases of gravity.

The induced metric, where it exists, has a rather rigid structure: the constant curvature inherited from the group. This may be a sign of the limited physical content of these 2-d models. In particular, for the central extension of the $SO(2,1) \times U(1)$ groups [7], [8], the H invariant induced metric has zero curvature unlike that for a black hole.

B. General two dimensional ϕF theory-gauge theory aspects.

B1 *The classical ϕF model.*

The topological two dimensional ϕF gauge theory of a Lie group G consists of a gauge connection A in the adjoint representation as well as $dim(G)$ real scalar fields transforming under the adjoint representation of G.

The topological action is given by:

$$(B1-1) \qquad S = \int_{\Sigma} Tr(\phi \cdot F) d^2x$$

where $F = dA + A^2$ is the field strength corresponding to the gauge connection A and $tr(XY) = \eta_{ab} X^a Y^b$ is a real invariant bi-linear form on the Lie algebra of G. Σ is the two dimensional world sheet.

The equation of motion are:

$$(B1-2) \qquad F^a_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$(B1-3) \qquad D_\mu \phi^a = 0$$

where $D_\mu \phi^a$ is the covariant derivative of ϕ

$$(B1-4) \qquad (D_\mu \phi)^a = \partial_\mu \phi^a + f^a_{bc} A^b_\mu \phi^c$$

f^a_{bc} being the structure constants of G.

The topological theory can be studied by considering various properties of the moduli space of the equations of motion [4]. In particular the partition function measures some appropriately chosen volume of the moduli space (which depends on the group G and the genus of Σ).

B2 *Quantization of the $\phi \cdot F$ model.*

In this work we perform a canonical quantization in the $A^a_0 = 0$ gauge. From now on A will denote A_1.

In order to be able to apply a Hamiltonian formulation we chose Σ to be $R^1 \times S^1$.

From eq. (B1-1) it follows that the gauge fields A and the scalar fields ϕ are canonical conjugate to each other, the equal time commutation relations they satisfy are:

$$(B2-1) \qquad [\phi^a(x), A^b(y)] = -i\hbar \eta^{ab} \delta(x-y)$$

we can thus choose a complete set among the (ϕ^a, A^a) variables.

Some of the results of quantization can be anticipated by recalling that the two dimensional $\phi \cdot F$ theory (eq. (B1-1)) is a dimensionally reduced 3-dimensional Chern-Simon theory (CS) of the same gauge group G defined by the action

$$(B2-2) \qquad S = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M Tr(A \wedge dA - \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A)$$

on a three-manifold $M = \Sigma \times S^1$ (Σ is the 2-d manifold of eq. (B1-1)). The theories described by equations (B1-1) and (B2-2) are both topological, the reason a scale dependent procedure such as dimensional reduction retained the topological nature of the theory is that dimensional reduction results actually by considering the large k limit of the CS theory in eq. (B2-2), [5].

In that limit, only configurations of the type (B1-2) contribute as long as G has no center. For a general G

$$(B2-3) \qquad Z_{cs}(G,k) \rightarrow Z_{\phi \cdot F}(G) exp(a\chi(\Sigma)) \# Z(G) \qquad \text{for k} \rightarrow \infty$$

where a does not depend on Σ, $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler number of Σ and $\#Z(G)$ the number of elements in the center of G. Now, it is known [9] that the Hilbert space of the CS model

(B2-2) consists (on $\Sigma = T^2$) of the conformal blocks of the affine Lie algebra of G. In particular in the large k limit, to each representation of G corresponds one state in the Hilbert space.

Thus the Hilbert space of the $\phi \cdot F$ theory (B1.1) should also be in one to one correspondence to the representations of G. We will verify that by an explicit calculation of the Hilbert space. In addition, in the CS case the phase of the wave functional had an interesting structure, it was identical to the action of the chiral $WZNW$ model [9], [10]. In the $\phi \cdot F$ case the phase will also have a geometrical interpretation.

The ϕF theory being topological requires all wave functionals to have zero energy. The wave functionals themselves are chosen so that they are invariant under the residual gauge transformations in the $A^a_0 = 0$ gauge. This imposes constraints on the states.

It is possible [4] to represent the wave functionals in an A^a basis. A complete basis for the allowed wave functionals are the characters $Tr_r(w)$, where r is an irreducible representation of G, w is the Wilson loop constructed from the connection A by:

$$(B2-4) \qquad w = Pexp \oint dx' A(x')$$

where P denotes a path ordered exponential taken around the circumference of space S^1.

For our goal to connect the theory to gravity and to the emergence of a target space, we find it instructive to construct the wave functional in the ϕ^a basis.

While in CS theories the two possible conjugate coordinates A^a_1 and A^a_2 had the same properties under gauge transformations, A^a and ϕ^a in (B2-1) have different transformation properties under the gauge group G. If we denote $\Psi(\phi)$ the wave functional in the $\phi^a(x)$ basis the operators $A_a(x) = \eta_{ab} A^b(x)$ act upon $\Psi(\phi(x))$ as $i\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^a(x)}$.

The generators of the residual gauge symmetries are given by the spatial component of equation (B1-4)

$$(B2-5) \qquad D_1\phi^a = \partial_1\phi^a + f^a_{bc} A^b \phi^c.$$

They are obtained by varying eq. (B1-1) with respect to A^a_0. We will suppress the spatial index in the derivative term.

When expressed in terms of the basis $\phi^a(x)$, the fact that physical states should obey Gauss's law is represented by:

$$(B2-6) \qquad G^a(x)\Psi(\phi(x)) = [\partial\phi^a(x) + if^{ca}_b \phi^b \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^c}]\Psi(\phi(x)) = 0.$$

Before actually solving eq. (B2-6) note that it constrains the configurations $\phi(x)$ for which $\Psi(\phi(x))$ does not vanish.

We shall indeed show that $\Psi(\phi(x))$ does not vanish only for configurations $\phi(x)$ which are of the form

$$(B2-7) \qquad \phi(x) = h^{-1}(x)\phi_D h(x)$$

where ϕ_D are constant in space and belong to the Cartan subalgebra C of G or rather, to a specific Weyl chamber in C. The matrix $h(x)$ stands for a general element of G. In particular, every Casimir invariant polynomial of the Lie algebra, when calculated in terms of $\phi(x)$, which are in the support of $\Psi(\phi(x))$, is x independent. This occurs since such $\phi(x)$, are contained in a single orbit of the adjoint action of G. To see that the support of $\Psi(\phi(x))$ is given by eq. (B2-7), consider for a given $\phi(x)$ another Lie algebra element, $\tau(x)$, which is required to commute with $\phi(x)$.

$$(B2-8) \qquad [\tau(x), \phi(x)] = 0.$$

Multiplying eq. (B2-6) by $\tau(x)$ and taking the trace, one obtains:

$$(B2-9) \qquad Tr(\tau(x)\partial\phi(x)) = 0.$$

For any $\phi(x)$ there exists a group element $h(x)$ such that

$$(B2-10) \qquad \phi_D(x) = h(x)\phi(x)h^{-1}(x)$$

belongs to a suitable chosen Cartan subalgebra C (this point will be expanded upon for non compact groups G). $h(x)$ is not unique, but this will be of interest only at a later stage.

Let τ_i, $1 < i < r$, be a basis for C, r denotes the rank of G. Since $\tau_i \varepsilon C$ it follows that

$$(B2-11) \qquad [\tau_i, \phi_D(x)] = 0$$

conjugating by $h(x)$ one has by eq. (B2-9)

$$(B2-12) \qquad Tr(h^{-1}(x)\tau_i h(x)\partial\phi(x)) = Tr(\tau_i h(x)\partial\phi(x)h^{-1}(x)) = 0$$

using eq. (B2-10) for $\phi(x)$ it follows from eq. (B2-12) that

$$0 = Tr(\tau_i h(x)\partial\phi(x)h^{-1}(x)) = Tr(\tau_i h\partial(h^{-1}\phi_D h)h^{-1}) =$$

$$(B2-13) \qquad Tr(\tau_i(\partial\phi_D + h\partial h^{-1}\phi_D + \phi_D(\partial h h^{-1}))) = Tr(\tau_i\partial\phi_D).$$

The element $\partial\phi_D$ belongs to C as well. It can thus be expanded in the basis τ_i, by eq. (B2-13) all its components vanish, proving that ϕ_D is x independent. One can solve explicitly the remaining dependence of $\Psi(\phi(x))$ on $\phi(x)$. For example for the case $G = SU(2)$, one can show that the wave functional

$$(B2-14) \qquad \Psi(\rho,\sigma,\alpha) = exp(\oint \frac{\rho\partial\sigma - \sigma\partial\rho}{M^2-\alpha^2}\alpha dx) \quad F(M^2)$$

satisfies eq. (B2-6) where

$$(B2-15) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\phi = \phi_1\tau_1 + \phi_2\tau_2 + \phi_3\tau_3 \\ &\rho = \frac{\phi_1 + i\phi_2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &\sigma = \frac{\phi_1 - i\phi_2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &\alpha = \phi_3 \\ &M^2 = \phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_3^2 = 2\rho\sigma + \alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

τ_i being the Pauli matrices (in this case $\frac{1}{2}Tr\phi_D^2 = M^2$ an d thus M is constant). F is an arbitrary function of M^2 (arbitrary up to some normalization requirement on the wave function).

In order to proceed for a general G it will be useful to be a little less explicit on the dependence of Ψ on the angular field variables. The solution will be obtained along the lines of the determination of the wave functional in CS theory [10] respecting the differences between the cases.

To solve eq. (B2-6) on admissible configurations (of the type eq. (B2-7)) recall that Gauss' laws are the infinitesimal generators of time independent gauge transformations. Indeed, under a gauge transformation $g(x)$, the operators $\phi(x)$ and $A(x)$ transform as:

$$(B2-16a) \qquad \phi(x) \to g(x)\phi(x)g^{-1}(x)$$

$$(B2-16b) \qquad A(x) = i\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(x)} \to g(x)i\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(x)}g^{-1} + g(x)\partial g^{-1}(x).$$

To implement these transformations, the unitary operator, U_g, acting on Ψ, corresponding to $g(x)$ should be defined by:

$$(B2-17) \qquad (U_g(x)\Psi)(\phi(x)) = exp(-iTr\int gdg^{-1}\phi)\Psi(g^{-1}(x)\phi(x)g(x)).$$

In eq. (B2-17) the transformation of the argument of Ψ gives rise to the homogeneous part of eq. (B2-16), while the phase factor in front is needed to produce the inhomogeneous part in eq. (B2-16b).

$U_g(x)$ as defined by eq. (B2-17) is a representation of the time independent gauge group in the sense that $U_{g_1}U_{g_1} = U_{g_1g_2}$. For an infinitesimal transformation $g(x) = 1 + \varepsilon^a(x)T_a$ $(a = 1, \ldots, dimG)$ eq. (B2-17) gives:

$$(B2-18) \qquad U_{1+\varepsilon^a\tau_a}\Psi(\phi(x)) = (1 + \int \varepsilon^a(x)G_a(x)dx)\Psi(\phi(x)).$$

Hence $G^a(x)$ are the generators of infinitesimal gauge transformations and eq. (B2-6) is equivalent to the requirement

$$(B2-19) \qquad U_g\Psi(\phi(x)) = \Psi(\phi(x))$$

that is, by eq. (B2-17):

$$(B2-20) \qquad \Psi(g^{-1}\phi g) = exp(iTr\int(gdg^{-1}\phi))\Psi(\phi)$$

for every $g(x)$ continuously connected to the identity.

In particular, for a configuration of the type of eq. (B2-7) one obtains:

$$(B2-21) \qquad \Psi(\phi(x) = h^{-1}(x)\phi_D h(x)) = exp(iTr\int(hdh^{-1}\phi_D))\Psi(\phi_D).$$

This $\Psi(\phi(x))$ fulfills eq. (B2-6).

At this stage it seems that the only constraint on the function $\Psi(\phi_D)$ is that it be normalizable in some appropriate measure. We will proceed to find additional constraints on $\Psi(\phi_D)$.

The solution as expressed in eq. (B2-21) is less explicit than that of eq. (B2-14), it requires to find the appropriate $h(x)$ for each $\phi(x)$. In fact $h(x)$ is defined by $\phi(x)$ only up to a left multiplication by an element of $T \times W$, where T is the subgroup generated by the Cartan subalgebra C and W is the Weyl group. If ϕ_D is fixed to be in a particular Weyl chamber there is left only an arbitrarity in T: $h(x)$ and $t(x)h(x)$ with $t(x)\varepsilon T$ correspond to the same $\phi(x)$. Therefore the phase factor in eq. (B2-21) should be invariant under $h \rightarrow th$.

Comparing the phases of eq. (B2-21) in both cases:

$$(B2-22) \qquad Tr(thd(th)^{-1}\phi_D) = Tr(hdh^{-1}\phi_D) + Tr(tdt^{-1}\phi_D)$$

$t(x)$ can be written as

$$(B2-23) \qquad t(x) = exp(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \rho^i(x) T_i)$$

thus invariance would result from eqs. (B2-22) and (B2-23) if

$$(B2-24) \qquad exp(iTr \int t dt^{-1} \phi_D) = exp(i \sum_i \int \partial \rho^i Tr(T_i \phi_D) dx)$$

would be equal to the unity matrix. As ϕ_D is constant this would occur in particular for any periodic function $\rho^i(x)$, for any value of the constant ϕ_D.

However, the function ρ^i appearing in the parametrization of eq. (B2-23) need not be periodic. All that is required is that $t(x)$ be a periodic solution.

For example, for a compact simply connected group, $t(x)$ is continuous whenever

$$(B2-25) \qquad \rho^i(2\pi R) = \rho^i(0) + \alpha^i$$

where the r dimensional vector α belongs to the dual of the weight lattice of G and R is the radius of the spatial world sheet circle. (For non compact groups, restrictions hold, although there are not always lattices present). Therefore, for $\Psi(\phi)$ to be single valued under two different representations of the same $\phi(x)$ in terms of h and in terms of th, ϕ_D has to belong to the weight lattice of G, modulo W, i.e. it corresponds to some highest weight. In particular, for $G = SU(2)$, for ϕ_D, which is of the form $\phi_D = \frac{iM}{2}\tau_3$ M has to be an integer. For that group $\Psi(\phi)$ is restricted to configurations for which

$$(B2-26) \qquad \phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_3^2 = M^2,$$

M is an integer.

We will return later to consider the target space picture this implies. We have obtained however the support of $\Psi(\phi)$ for simply connected Lie groups G. If G is not simply connected, for example if $G = SO(3)$, then $t(x)$ would be considered periodic even if $t(0) = -t(2\pi R)$. In that case α is shortened by one half and M would thus have to be an even integer to allow for continuity. This corresponds to $SO(3)$ having only integer angular momentum representations. The general observations is that, as anticipated, to each representation of G corresponds one state in the Hilbert space. For an allowed configuration $\phi(x) = h(x)\phi_D h^{-1}(x)$ the dependence on the angular variables $h(x)$ is fixed by the phase as appearing in eq. (B2-21). To appreciate the geometrical significance of the phase, we recast it in a different form.

The expression (B2-21) is not, as we have discussed, explicit in terms of $\phi(x)$. Moreover, we have found that locally there is a dependence on the particular choice of $h(x)$ made. Restrictions on the allowed values of ϕ_D ensure that at the end (that is after completing the spatial integration in eq. (B2-21)), there is no dependence on the representative of $h(x)$, and that is all that really counts. One can try to adopt a particular arbitrary choice of $h(x)$ so as to free $\Psi(\phi)$ from the ambiguity, in general, however such a choice can't be made globally on G/T without meeting singularities of $\phi(x)$ as long as G is a non-trivial bundle on G/T. There is an alternative, $WZNW$ like, ambiguity free, formulation in terms of G/T only, with the price of the need to continue $\phi(x)$, letting it take values in G/T not only on the physical circle, S^1, but on a two dimensional disc, D, having S^1 as a boundary. Consider the phase appearing in eq. (B2-21)

$(B2-27)$

$$\int_{S^1} Tr(hdh^{-1}\phi_D) = \int_{D(\partial D=S^1)} d(Tr(hdh^{-1}\phi_D) = -\int_D Tr(hdh^{-1} \wedge hdh^{-1}\phi_D).$$

Comparing the two form in eq. (B2-27) between two choices of $h(x)$, $h(x)$ and $t(x)h(x)$, $t\varepsilon T \times W$, we have, using the commutativity of tdt^{-1} and ϕ_D,

$$(B2-28) \qquad \begin{aligned} &Tr((thd(h^{-1}t^{-1} \wedge thd(h^{-1}t^{-1}))\phi_D) = Tr(hdh^{-1} \wedge hdh^{-1}\phi_D) \\ &+ Tr(tdt^{-1} \wedge t(hdh^{-1})t^{-1}\phi_D) + Tr(t(hdh^{-1})t^{-1}\phi_D \wedge tdt^{-1}) \\ &+ Tr((tdt^{-1}) \wedge (tdt^{-1})\phi_d) = Tr(hdh^{-1} \wedge hdh^{-1}\phi_D). \end{aligned}$$

Demonstrating that the two form expression for the phase is locally gauge invariant under $h \to th$. Also, the contraction of this form with a vector field in the fibre direction $\delta h = \tau h \quad \tau\varepsilon C$, is, since $\delta h^{-1} = -h^{-1}\tau$

$$(B2-29)\ Tr(h\delta h^{-1}hdh^{-1}\phi_D) - Tr(hdh^{-1}h\delta h^{-1}\phi_D) = Tr(\tau hdh^{-1}\phi_D - hdh^{-1}\tau\phi_D) = 0.$$

It is, therefore, actually a closed two form on G/T (on G it is an exact form by its construction, not so in general, on G/T). The wave functional can now be written as:

$$(B2-30) \qquad \Psi(\phi(x) = h^{-1}\phi_D h) = exp(-i\int_{D(\partial D=L)} Tr(hdh^{-1} \wedge hdh^{-1}\phi_D)\Psi(\phi_D)$$

where this time the phase depends only on the values of $\phi(x)$ as continued into the disc.

In direct notation this phase is given by $f^{ijk}\phi_i d\phi_j \wedge d\phi_k / Tr\phi^2$. The quantization of ϕ_D can also be addressed in this notation. For example, in the compact case it results from the fact that $\Pi_2(G/T) = Z^r$. There are many homotopically inequivalent ways to choose a disc with a given boundary and the compatibility of Ψ among all these choices requires the quantization of ϕ_D on the weight lattice of G. If $G/_T$ is not simply connected, then in general $\phi(x)$ can't be continued into a disc having L as a boundary. Still, in a given homotopy sector one can choose some reference configuration $\widehat{\phi}(x)$. Any other configuration $\phi(x)$ in the same sector can be continued into a two dimensional annulus, A, whose boundary is the union of the paths $\phi(x)$ and $\widehat{\phi}(x)$ with opposite orientations. In that case eq. (B2-30) should be replaced by:

$$(B2-31) \qquad \Psi(\phi(x)) = [exp(-i\int_A Tr(hdh^{-1} \wedge hdh^{-1}\phi_D))]\Psi(\widehat{\phi})$$

where h is still defined by eq. (B2-7). Changing the choice $\widehat{\phi}(x)$ merely multiplies $\Psi(\phi)$ by a total phase.

Let us return now to the example, $G = SU(2)$.

We have already found the wave functional in that case (eq. (B2-14)), let us now relate it to eq. (B2-30).

The scalar field $\phi(x)$ is parametrized as:

$$(B2-32) \qquad \phi(x) = \frac{i}{2}(\phi_1(x)\tau_1 + \phi_2(x)\tau_2 + \phi_3(x)\tau_3)$$

τ_a being the standard Pauli matrices. Eq. (B2-6) has the form

$$(B2-33) \qquad (\partial\phi^a - \varepsilon_{abc}\phi^a \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^i(x)})\Psi(\phi(x)) = 0$$

we have shown that

$$\phi_1^2(x) + \phi_2^2(x) + \phi_3^2(x) = M^2$$

is x independent.

For such configurations ϕ varies on $\frac{G}{T} = \frac{SU(2)}{U(1)} = S^2$.

$\phi(x)$ for which $\Psi(\phi(x))$ has support is written as:

$$(B2-34) \qquad \phi(x) = \frac{i}{2}(M\sin\theta(x)\cos\varphi(x)\tau_1 + M\sin\theta(x)\sin\varphi(x)\tau_2 + M\cos\theta(x)\tau_3).$$

The group element $h(x)$ which conjugates ϕ to ϕ_D is:

$$(B2-35) \qquad h(x) = exp(i\varphi\tau_3)exp(-i\frac{\theta(x)}{2}(\sin\varphi(x)\tau_1 - \cos\varphi(x)\tau_2))$$

$$(B2-36) \qquad h\phi h^{-1} \equiv \phi_D = \frac{i}{2}M\tau_3\varepsilon C$$

for any function $\varphi(x)$. Making the arbitrary choice $\varphi = 0$, we get

for the phase in eq. (B2-21)

$$(B2-37) \qquad Tr(hdh^{-1}\phi_D) = -\frac{M}{2}(1-\cos\theta)d\phi$$

so:

$$(B2-38) \qquad \Psi(\theta(x), \phi(x)) = exp(-i\frac{M}{2}\int(1-\cos\theta)d\phi)\Psi(\frac{i}{2}M\tau_3).$$

The same result follows from eq. (B2-14) using eq. (B2-34).

To be more precise this occurs after adding to the phase in eq. (B2-14) a total derivative $M\int d\phi$. This freedom is fixed by requiring continuity near $\theta = 0$ (the north pole) when a loop that does not contain inside it the north pole is smoothly deformed to contain it. θ, ϕ have indeed two points of singularity on the sphere. Near the south pole it is the quantization of M that ensures the continuity. The exterior derivative of the 1 form $(1-\cos\theta)d\phi$ is given by:

$$(B2-39) \qquad d((1-\cos\theta)d\phi) = \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi$$

which is just the volume form on the sphere. In the version of eq. (B2-32) the wave functional is thus:

$$(B2-40)$$

$$\Psi(\phi(x)) = exp(-i\frac{M}{2}\,(\mathrm{area\,enclosed\,on\,the\,unit\,sphere\,S^2\,by\,the\,curve\,\phi(x)})\Psi(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\mathrm{M}\tau_3).$$

There are many ways to define a disc on S^2 with a fixed boundary. Their areas differ from each other by integer multiples of the total area of the sphere. We thus reobtain the quantization condition

$$(B2-41) \qquad \frac{M}{2}4\pi = 2\pi \quad \text{integer} \quad \Rightarrow \mathrm{M}\varepsilon\mathrm{Z}.$$

Therefore, in general, the phase is just the appropriate area bounded by the configuration $\phi(x)$.

In the 3-d Chern-Simons case [9], [10] the phase of the wave functional itself correspond to a 2-d action, that of the chiral WZNW. In the present case the phase is again an action, this time of a quantum mechanical system [11] containing also only first order derivatives.

B3 *$\phi \cdot F$ theory for some non-compact groups.*

The above analysis holds also for non-compact groups.

In particular we will consider $G = SO(2,1), SO(2,1) \times U(1)$, a contracted version of $SO(2,1) \times U(1)$ and $SO(2,2)$.

These theories are related, in some sense, classically to various two dimensional gravitational systems. This relationship will be discussed in greater detail in section C.

Starting with $G = SO(2,1)$, one notes that G has three types of adjoint orbits, the generators being elliptic, hyperbolic and parabolic. Denoting $\phi(x)$ by

$$(B3-1) \qquad \phi(x) = i\phi_1\tau_1 + i\phi_2\tau_2 + \phi_3\tau_3.$$

A configuration $\phi(x)$ can be brought to the form ϕ_D of eq. (B2-7) depending on the sign of M^2, where now:

$$(B3-2) \qquad \frac{1}{2}tr\vec{\phi}^2 = \phi_3^2 - \phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2 = M^2$$

M^2 remains x independent as we have shown. For a positive value of M^2, $\phi(x)$ can be brought to the form $M\tau_3$ (M real). The orbit given by eq. (B3-2) represents a two sheeted hyperboloid, as the stability groups of such orbits are compact for $M^2 > 0$, the analysis in the compact case $G = SU(2)$ applies here as well, rendering M to be an integer. As $SO(2,1)$ contains no operation relating the two disjoint parts of the two sheeted hyperboloid, there exist two different classes of representations denoted by M positive or negative. They are in one to one correspondence to the discrete series representations, D_+, D_-, if $SO(2,1)$. Representations for which the invariant Casimir is negative and the eigenvalue of the Cartan subalgebra generator is bounded from either above or below.

Negative values of M^2, lead to orbits on the one sheeted hyperboloid, in which case the generator of the stability group is a hyperbolic, non compact operator. Thus $\phi(x)$ can be brought to the form $iM\tau_1$, no quantization is needed to ensure that $t = exp(\alpha\tau_1)$ be continuous. To every real value of M corresponds a basis element of the wave functional. The states are in one to one correspondence to the so-called principal series representations of $SO(2,1)$, which have no restriction on the value of the real Casimir. The orbits are classified by a fixed radius of the one sheeted hyperboloid.

For $M^2 = 0$ ϕ can be brought to the form $\phi = i\phi_1\tau_1 + \phi\tau_3$ and one distinguishes two cases. The first orbit is $\vec{\phi} = 0$, which is represented by the tip of the light one, the second orbit is the light cone itself. The first is related to the singlet representation of $SO(2,1)$, we have not found an orbit associated with the complementary representations of $SO(2,1)$.

The area phase, for positive M^2, can be chosen to be the finite area contained by $\phi(x)$. For negative values of M^2, the cases can be classified according to their winding number around the axis of the hyperboloid. All the different sectors are still gauge equivalent to each other by topologically non-trivial gauge transformations of the non-simply connected gauge group. For any non zero winding, one needs to measure the area relative to some standard curve. For zero winding, there again exists a finite area which can be chosen. Since the gauge transformations which lead from one winding sector to another are not continuously connected to the identity the vanishing of the infinitesimal generators G^a, i.e. Gauss' law, does not fix the relative values of the wave function at different sectors. If we insist on a wave functional invariant under finite gauge transformations defined by eq. (B2-17), then the value of the wave functional at zero winding configurations fixes its value on all the other sectors. In this non-simply connected case there exists however, the possibility of a consistent modification of the transformation law eq. (B2-17) by introducing a θ parameter multiplying eq. (B2-17) by $e^{in\theta}$, n being the winding number of the gauge transformation. This will introduce a relative factor $e^{in\theta}$ in the winding number n sector of the wave functional. We do not know though how to express such a theta parameter in terms of a gauge invariant local action.

As for $SU(2)$, one can obtain the explicit solution of Eq. (B2-6) in terms of ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3. It is with the definitions of eq. (B2-14)

$$(B3-3) \qquad \Psi(\rho,\sigma,\alpha) = exp(\oint \frac{\rho\partial\sigma - \sigma\partial\rho}{M^2+\alpha^2}\alpha dx)F(M^2).$$

Again to the phase one has to add a part $M\oint dx$ for $M^2 > 0$. For configurations with other values of M^2 it is redundant.

Let us next consider the group $G = SO(2,1)\times U(1)$, in that case it is enough to treat the $U(1)$ case, as the problem factorizes and the $SO(2,1)$ has just been studied.

For $U(1)$ the solution of eq. (B2-6) is immediate, the field ϕ_4 associated to the $U(1)$ generator must be x independent. If the $U(1)$ gauge group is non compact, ϕ_4 may assume any value, for a compact $U(1)$, ϕ_4 will be appropriately quantized in units of the $U(1)$ radius.

Finally let us consider the twisted $SO(2,1)\times U(1)$ algebra [8].

One can supplement the $SO(2,1)$ algebra

$$(B3-4) \qquad \begin{aligned} &[J_0, P_\pm] = \pm P_\pm \\ &[P_+, P_-] = J_0 \end{aligned}$$

by another generator I of a $U(1)$ group making it an $SO(2,1)\times U(1)$ algebra, enabling a study of a twisted algebra of these four generators

$$(B3-5) \qquad \begin{aligned} &[J_0, P_\pm] = \pm P_\pm \\ &[P_+, P_-] = \lambda I. \end{aligned}$$

I commutes with all generators. This is still a Lie algebra which may actually be reached by a continuous deformation of the $SO(2,1)\times U(1)$ case. The two operators $C_1 = \lambda I$, $C_2 = P_+P_- + \lambda I J_0$ are Casimir operators commuting with the algebra. Consider again the action $S = Tr \int \phi F$ built on that algebra. Here F is the curvature of the gauge field based on the above algebra and ϕ is a four component Higgs field in the adjoint representation:

$$(B3-6) \qquad \phi = \phi^+ P_+ + \phi^- P_- + \phi^0 J_0 + \phi^I I.$$

The symbol Tr denotes here the invariant bilinear form of the algebra [8]

$$(B3-7) \qquad Tr(\phi F) = \phi^+ F^- + \phi^- F^+ + \frac{1}{\lambda}(\phi^I F^0 + \phi^0 F^I).$$

Under a gauge transformation ϕ^0 is unchanged and the combination $\phi^+\phi^- + \frac{1}{\lambda}\phi^0\phi^I$ is also invariant. Gauss's law thus implies that the wave functional obtains support only on configurations for which

$$(B3-8) \qquad \phi^+\phi^- + \frac{C}{\lambda}\phi^I = M^2$$

C and M^2 (the defining radius of the parabolic hyperboloid) are constant in space. An invariant metric on our Lie algebra is

$$(B3-9) \qquad ds^2 = a^2(d\phi^+ d\phi^- + \frac{1}{\lambda} d\phi^0 d\phi^I) + b^2 (d\phi^0)^2$$

a and b are arbitrary constants. On a given orbit the metric will be just $a^2 d\phi^+ d\phi^-$. Every $\phi(x)$ configuration on such an orbit can be brought by a gauge transformation $h(x)$ to the x independent form: $h(x)\phi(x)h^{-1}(x) = \phi$ with, say, $\phi^{\pm}_D = 0$, $\phi^0_D = C$, $\phi^I_D = \frac{\lambda M^2}{C}$. The transformation h is defined modulo right multiplication by a transformation t which preserves ϕ_0 i.e. t is generated by J_0 and I with no $P_\pm$. The corresponding phase in the wave functional $Tr \int (hdh^{-1}\phi_D)$ is ambiguous by a phase

$$Tr \int (tdt^{-1}\phi_0) = Tr \int (d\alpha^0 J_0 + d\alpha^I I)(CJ_0 + \frac{\lambda M^2}{C} I) = \int \frac{\lambda^2 M^2}{C} d\alpha^0 + \int \lambda C d\alpha^I.$$

Since J_0 generates a non compact group, the first term vanishes. If however, I generates a compact $U(1)$, then the second term may give $\int d\alpha^I =$ integer which imposes quantization on possible values of $\lambda\phi^0 = \lambda C$.

B4 *Some Gauge theory aspects.*

The $\phi \cdot F$ theory is a gauge theory, as it is also a topological theory all of the states in the theory have quantum mechanically zero energy thus falling in the category of flat potentials. For all groups G the symmetry group remains G if the system is chosen to be in the state corresponding to the singlet. (For example for $SU(2)$ this implies: $\Psi(\phi) = \delta(\phi)$). A generic result is that for a wave function supported on any other orbit the symmetry is reduced at most to $\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_i$. H_i are various one dimensional groups ($U(1)$'s for compact groups) and r is the rank of the group. Indeed, for compact gauge groups, a scalar as ϕ field in the adjoint representation reduces G to a product of groups whose ranks add up to the rank of G, generically reducing G to $(U(1))^r$. For a flat potential G can either be maintained or broken down to the above factors.

The wave function supported on a fixed orbit allows $\phi(x)$ in general to vary in space. The identification of the residual H_i symmetries is more complex. For example one may employ the methods used for the monopole configuration [12]. This will be further discussed in relation to gravity.

Treating $\phi \cdot F$ as a gauge theory one may calculate it's order parameters. We have already demonstrated that the operator O, defined by

$$O = tr\phi^2(x) \tag{B4-1}$$

is x independent (as it should be in a topological theory) and it's expectation value is given by

$$< 0 >= \int |\Psi(\phi_D)|^2 (tr\phi_D^2) D\phi_D. \tag{B4-2}$$

Note that the phase of $\Psi(\phi(x))$ and with it all $h(x)$ dependence is eliminated. For $\Psi(\phi_D)$ on a fixed orbit ϕ_D^f

$$< 0 >= tr(\phi_D^f)^2. \tag{B4-3}$$

Another gauge theory order parameter is the value of the Wilson loop. In the Hamiltonian formulation the calculation of the average value of the Wilson loop reduces to an addition of two sources to the system.

This is done in the following manner:

Suppose the expectation value of a Wilson loop in the representation R is inserted. One deals then with the path integral:

$$Z(A) = \int D\phi D A_0 D A e^{Tr\phi F} Tr_R P e^{\int_C A}. \tag{B4-4}$$

Choose the Wilson line C to run along the t axis at $x = 0$. Consider, first the Abelian case. The representation R is fixed then by the charge q of the loop and one computes the expectation of $exp(q \int A)$. In the gauge $A_0 = 0$, varying with respect to A_0 Gauss' law is changed into

$$(B4-5) \qquad [d\phi + q\delta(x)] = 0.$$

Naturally the flux of the charged particle enters into Gauss' law and the wave function is supported on configurations of ϕ which is constant everywhere except at the position of the particle where it makes a jump of height q which represents the flux of the particle. If space is a compact circle, it must contain zero total charge and another particle of charge $-q$ has to be added, say, at the point $x = L$. The allowed configurations are then those with a constant $\phi = M$ everywhere except for the interval between the two opposite charges where the flux line between them is manifested as a shift in ϕ to the value $M - q$. Notice however that in this topological theory the flux line carries no energy density and does not really confine the sources.

In the non abelian case the external source, although not moving in space, still carries a discrete dynamical degree of freedom which is its orientation in the gauge group. The wave functional $\Psi_s[\phi(x)]$ depends now, for a single source, also on the index s, $dim(R) \geq s \geq 1$, representing the gauge state of the source Gauss' law will now obey

$$(B4-6) \qquad \sum_{u=1}^{dim(R)} [(D\phi)^a \delta_{s,u} + \delta(x)\lambda^a_{s,u}]\Psi_u[\phi(x)] = 0$$

for every point x, every group index a and every R representation index s. If $\phi(x)$ is conjugate to $\phi_D(x)$ which is in the Cartain subalgebra C: $\phi_D(x) = h(x)\phi(x)h^{-1}(x)$ $\phi_D(x) \in C$ then the same reasoning as we had in the absence of sources states that Gauss' law implies

$$(B4-7) \qquad \sum_{u=1}^{dim(R)} [\partial\phi^i_D(x)\delta_{s,u} + \delta(x)\lambda^i_{s,u}]\Psi_u = 0$$

for every i in C, $r \geq i \geq 1$ r being the rank of G. Choose the basis in the representation R to be the weight basis with respect to the Cartan algebra C i.e. for any $r \geq i \geq 1$

$$(B4-8) \qquad \sum_{u=1}^{dim(R)} \lambda^i_{s,u}\Psi_u = w^i_s \Psi_s$$

with w_s the weight corresponding to the s-th state in the R representation. Gauss' law implies then that our wave function may differ from zero only on configurations of the form

$$\phi^i_{D,s}(x) = \begin{cases} m^i & x < 0 \\ m^i - w^i_s & x > 0 \end{cases} \tag{B4-9}$$

with constant m^i and w_s being one of the weights of R. At the configuration $\phi(x) = \phi_{D,s}(x)$ only the components of Ψ corresponding to w_s are non zero. We can normalize our wave function by

$$\Psi^{(s)}_r(\phi(x)) = \phi_{D,s}(x)) = \delta_{r,s}. \tag{B4-10}$$

(If the weight w_s has multiplicity m, we can define m different wave functions by

$$\Psi^{(s,\ell)}_{r,K}(\phi(x) = \phi_{D,s}(x)) = \delta_{r,s}\delta_{K,\ell} \tag{B4-11}$$

$m \geq \ell \geq 1$).

To find the value of $\Psi^{(s)}$ at a general admissible ϕ configuration of the form

$$\phi(x) = h^{-1}(x)\phi_{D,s}h(x) \tag{B4-12}$$

we have only to note that in the presence of a source the gauge transformation of the wave function should be:

$$(U_{g(x)}\Psi)_s[\phi(x)] = \sum_{\tau=1}^{dimR} D(g(0))_{s,r}e^{-iTr\int(gdg^{-1}\phi)}\Psi_r(g^{-1}(x)\phi(x)g(x)) \tag{B4-13}$$

where $D^{(R)}(g)$ is the finite matrix representing g in representation R. Again, Gauss' law forces Ψ to be invariant under U, hence

$$\Psi_r[h^{-1}(x)\phi_{D,s}h(x)] = D^{(R)}(h^{-1}(0))_{r,s}e^{iTr\int(h(x)dh^{-1}(x)\phi_{D,s})}. \tag{B4-14}$$

There is a state Ψ for every vector in the representation R. Again, for a compact circular space the configuration $\phi_{D,s}$ is not legitimate for s a non zero weight. Then there must be at least one other source, say at the point $x = L$, in a representation R' containing the weight $-w_s$. The wave function depends now on the indices of both sources. At the Cartan configuration

$$\phi^i_{D,s,-s}(x) = \begin{cases} m^i - w^i_s & 0 \leq x \leq L \\ m^i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{B4-15}$$

we have by Gauss' law

$$(B4-16) \qquad \Psi^{(s)}_{r,r'}[\phi(x)=\phi^{i}_{D,s,-s}]=\delta_{r,s}\delta_{r',-s}.$$

If m and m' are the multiplicities of s in R and R' respectively, then we have mm' independent wave functions and for a general admissible configuration

$$(B4-17) \qquad \Psi^{(s)}_{r,r'}[h^{-1}\phi_{D,s,-s}h(x)]=D^{(R)}(h^{-1}(0))_{r,s}D^{(R')}(h^{-1}(L))_{r',-s}e^{iTr\int hdh^{-1}\phi_{D,s,-s}}.$$

Note that if R contains the zero weight, then a single source is possible even in compact space. This is somewhat analogous to the solution in QCD where zero colour triality sources are not confined but rather screened by gluons.

Actually recall that the theory described by:

$$(B4-18) \qquad S=\int_{\Sigma}d^2xTr(\phi\cdot F+e^2\sqrt{g}\phi^2)$$

would give pure QCD in two dimensions once the ϕ degrees of freedom are integrated upon to yield

$$(B4-19) \qquad S=\int_{\Sigma}d^2x\sqrt{g}\frac{1}{e^2}TrF^2$$

e^2 being a dimensionfull coupling.

The Hilbert space of the action given by eq. (B4-18) is identical to the Hilbert space described the action of eq. (B1-1) in the $A^i_0=0$ gauge. This follows as the additional term $e^2tr\phi^2$ is A_0 independent. It is however metric dependent and thus modifies the energy of the system in a way similar to a magnetic field in the Zeeman-effect. As $tr\phi^2$ is constant the action depends only on the area. It is slightly disappointing that a theory with seemingly less symmetry such as pure $(QCD)_2$ is so similar to the topological theory. For any group G, the infinite degeneracy is lifted by the $e^2tr\phi^2$ term.

The exact value of the energy can be renormalized, one expects, from pure $(QCD)_2$, that the renormalized energy is this case is not $tr\phi^2$, but the second Casimir. In any case the wave functionals themself are left unchanged.

For the $\phi\cdot F$ theory we have found that the wave functionals behave as in strongly coupled lattice QCD, in particular QCD is manifestly confining in that region of parameter space.

The formation of the flux lines, in the case when the inserted representation is sensitive to the group center, is the clear geometrical (stringy) feature of confinement.

The next step in any such evaluation is to measure the dependence of the energy difference between the energy of the system with inserted charges and without such charges on the distance between the sources. For confining systems it grows linearly with that distance. In particular when a chromo-electric flux line is formed among the sources confinement is manifest.

For the topological theory the energy of all states, with or without sources remains zero (if the sources can be coupled in a topological manner, which is possible in the $\phi \cdot F$ case) and thus no dependence of the distance between the sources can emerge. Indeed any such dependence would violate the topological nature. However the moment the $tr\phi^2$ term will be switched on, the flux line will have a fixed energy per unit length and the wave functionals in the presence of sources (which remain unchanged when $e^2 tr\phi^2$ was turned on) will now indeed signify that the confinement properties of pure $(QCD)_2$.

The scalar field ϕ playing the role of a chromoelectric field, whose constancy in $(QCD)_2$ is well known.

B5 *The case of a space with a boundary.*

For a closed spacial space each representation is one to one correspondence with each state in the Hilbert space.

Consider now the model on a space time manifold with a boundary. Let us adopt boundary conditions which force the component of the gauge field tangent to the boundary to vanish. Note that with this condition there is no boundary correction to the bulk equations of motion [10]. In the Hamiltonian picture we have a space which is an interval, say $[0, 1]$, evolving in time, with $A_0 = 0$ on the boundaries. Since Gauss' law results from integration over A_0, it does not have to be satisfied on the two edges of the spatial interval. As a result our wave function has to be invariant only under those time independent gauge transformations $h(x)$ for which $h = 1$ on the edges.

Choosing again the ϕ picture, this conditions is enough to ensure that the wave functional $\Psi[\phi(x)]$ is non zero only for $\phi(x)$ which stays on the same gauge orbit throughout the interior of the interval and hence, by continuity, also at the boundaries. It is still true then, that admissible configurations are of the form, $\phi(x) = h^{-1}(x)\phi_D h(x)$. Unlike the close string case, not any two admissible configurations on the same orbit are connected by a gauge transformation, since gauge transformations have to tend to unity on the boundaries and hence $\phi(0)$ and $\phi(1)$ are gauge invariant. It is still true that any two configurations with the same boundary ϕ values, are connected by a gauge transformation, and knowing the value of the wave functional on one of them, Gauss' law determines its value on the other. The most general physical wave functional will depend now on an arbitrary function of the orbit and of $\phi(0)$ and $\phi(1)$. For any pair of points $\phi(0)$ and $\phi(1)$ in the Lie algebra, belonging to the same orbit fixed by some point ϕ_D in the Cartan subalgebra, choose some arbitrary path $\overline{\phi}(x)$ in the same orbit connecting these two points, i.e. $\overline{\phi}(0) = \phi(0)$, and $\overline{\phi}(1) = \phi(1)$. The path $\overline{\phi}(x)$ is arbitrarily fixed for each pair, for example it may be chosen as the geodesic line on the G/T orbit manifold connecting $\phi(0)$ to $\phi(1)$. The value of the wave functional at these configurations $\overline{\phi}(x)$ is not restricted by gauge invariance and may be arbitrarily put to be any function of the orbit ϕ_D, and the two boundary values which determine $\overline{\phi}(x)$,

$$(B5-1) \qquad \Psi[\overline{\phi}(x)] = f(\phi_D, \phi(0), \phi(1)).$$

The value of Ψ at any other configuration is now fixed by gauge invariance. If $\phi(x)$ is any configuration in the orbit of ϕ_D, then there exists a transformation $h(x)$ such that, $\phi(x) = h^{-1}(x)\phi_D h(x)$, which, however does not tend to unity at the edges. For the $\overline{\phi}$ trajectory belonging to the same orbit ϕ_D with the same boundary values $\phi(0)$ and $\phi(1)$, let $\overline{h}(x)$ be a transformation such that, $\overline{\phi}(x) = \overline{h}^{-1}(x)\phi_D\overline{h}(x), \overline{h}(x)$ also does not tend to unity at the boundary. Since at the edges ϕ and $\overline{\phi}$ agree, we can choose $h(0) = \overline{h}(0)$ and $h(1) = \overline{h}(1)$. The configurations $\phi(x)$ and $\overline{\phi}(x)$ are connected by the gauge transformation,

$$(B5-2) \qquad \phi(x) = k^{-1}(x)\overline{\phi}(x)k(x)$$

with $k(x) = \overline{h}^{-1}(x)h(x)$, being a legitimate gauge transformation with the right boundary behaviour. Due to the invariance of Ψ under such gauge transformations, the value of Ψ at the configuration $\phi(x)$ is given by

$$(B5-3) \qquad \Psi[\phi(x)] = e^{i\int Tr(kdk^{-1}\overline{\phi}(x))} f(\phi_D, \phi(0), \phi(1))$$

or

$$(B5-4) \qquad \Psi[\phi(x)] = e^{i\int [Tr(hdh^{-1}\phi_D) - Tr(\overline{h}d\overline{h}^{-1}\phi_D)]} f(\phi_D, \phi(0), \phi(1))$$

which completely determines Ψ up to the arbitrary function f. The phase above can further be expressed as an integral over a closed path parametrized by $2 > y > 0$, $\int Tr[j(y)dj^{-1}(y)\phi_D]$, where $j(y) \in G$ is defined by

$$(B5-5) \qquad j(y) = h(y) \qquad \text{for } 1 > \text{y} > 0$$

$$j(y) = \overline{h}(2-y) \qquad \text{for } 2 > \text{y} > 1.$$

Again $j(y)$ is defined only modulo left multiplication by Cartan torus elements $t(y)$ and the requirement of the independence of Ψ under such changes gives the same quantization of allowed orbits that we had in the closed case. One can replace the phase in the above equation which depends locally on $t(x)$, by the phase,

$$(B5-6) \qquad e^{-i\int_D Tr(\ell(x,z)d\ell^{-1}(x,z)\wedge\ell(x,z)d\ell^{-1}(x,z)\phi_D)}$$

which is locally t independent. Here $\ell(x,z)$ is a mapping from a 2 dimensional disc D, to G, which tends to $j(y)$ on the circle bounding the disc.

In the A^a basis (discussed in eq. (B2-4)) a basis may be formed out of any function of the form

$$(B5-7) \qquad \widetilde{\Psi}(A) = TrPexp\int_0^1 A^a_\mu dx_\mu.$$

$\widetilde{\Psi}(A)$ is invariant under $\widetilde{g}(x)$ transformations. One is not restricted only to functions of the characters of these group elements.

Let us now put forward a speculation on how a transition could occur which would increase the number of states. One of the difficulties in imagining a transition between a topological gravitational theory and a non-topological phase of gravity is that a non topological phase of gravity seems to consist of many more states. Imagine that a transition on the world sheet would result in tearing the world sheet, in particular in turning closed space to many open spaces. If this would occur in a theory of the type $\phi \cdot F$, it would result in the formation of many more states. Exactly how many more is determined by the general nature of the group (compact or non compact) and on its exact specification. Is there a mechanism which would allow to tear the world sheet. It was pointed out [13] that one aspect of crossing the $c > 1$ barrier is the rapture of the world sheet. Similar phenomena occur at the K.T. transition. Above $c = 1$ the 2-d gravitational system changes from a zero number of local degrees of freedom system to one with a positive number of such degrees of freedom.

C. Gravitational aspects for the gauge theory.

C1. *Review.*

We have solved quantum mechanically the topological gauge theory, the promise of an emergence of a metric actually appears classically. Thus, we retreat to the classical description and later return to search for a fulfillment of these classical promises in the quantum setting. In particular we discuss classically the case of the non-compact gauge group $SO(2,1)$. The theory has three generators which are denoted by P_1, P_2, J they fulfill the algebra:

$$(C1-1) \qquad \begin{aligned} [J, P_a] &= 2i\varepsilon_a^b P_b \\ [P_a, P_b] &= -i\Lambda\varepsilon_{ab} J. \end{aligned}$$

For now we suppress the scale Λ and set $\Lambda = 1$.

As the theory has three gauge generators, it was suggested [4] to relate them to the parameters associated with the two dimensional diffeomorphisms and the local Lorentz tranformation similarly to what was proposed earlier for a 3-d case [2]. This was shown [4] in the following manner.

Under a gauge transformation $\lambda = \lambda^i J_i$ (J_i denote here the group generators), the gauge fields, $A_\mu = A_\mu^i J_i$, and the scalar field, $\phi = \phi^i J_i$, transform infinitesimally respectively as:

$$(C1-2) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\delta A_\mu = -\partial_\mu \lambda - [\lambda, A_\mu] \equiv -D_\mu \lambda \\ &\delta\phi = [\lambda, \phi] \end{aligned}$$

under a diffeomorphism $\delta x^\mu = \varepsilon^\mu(x)$ the fields transform as

$$(C1-3) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\delta A_\mu = \varepsilon^\rho F_{\rho\mu} + D_\mu(\varepsilon^\rho A_\rho) \\ &\delta\phi = \varepsilon^\rho D_\rho \phi - [\varepsilon^\rho A_\rho, \phi]. \end{aligned}$$

As the equation of motions are

$$(B1-2) \qquad F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$(B1-3) \qquad D_\mu \phi = 0$$

gauge invariance guarantees general coordinate transformations on configurations which are solutions of the equations of motions; the gauge and coordinate transformations are related by:

$$(C1-4) \qquad \lambda^i = \varepsilon^\rho A_\rho^i.$$

That is, general coordinate transformations define configuration dependent gauge transformation (on the configuration $A_\rho^i = 0$, for example, $\lambda^i = 0$). This may be implemented for any gauge group G. Choosing two group directions ($i = 1, 2$) one may determine ε_ρ from λ^i if $det_{\rho i} A^i_\rho \neq 0$. We shall see later on how to reconstruct a general coordinate invariance plus Lorentz invariance from the gauge group apart from the zero determinant obstruction (topological defects), where the gauge transformation cannot be inverted.

Moreover, it was suggested to define

$$A_\mu = e^a_\mu P_a + w_\mu J \tag{$C1-5$}$$

such that e^a_μ, w_μ play the role of zweibeins and spin connections respectively. In general a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ can be defined from the zweibeins by:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^a_\mu e^b_\nu B_{ab} \tag{$C1-6$}$$

where B_{ab} is the $SO(2,1)$ group metric restricted to the transverse direction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{$C1-7$}$$

Introducing $P_\pm = P_1 \pm P_2$, we find from eq. (C1-1)

$$\begin{aligned} [J, P_+] &= -2iP_+ \\ [J, P_-] &= 2iP_- \\ [P_+, P_-] &= 2iJ. \end{aligned} \tag{$C1-8$}$$

The field components are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} 2\phi &= \rho P_+ + \sigma P_- + \alpha J \\ 2A_\mu &= e_\mu P_+ + \lambda_\mu P_- + \beta_\mu J \\ &. \end{aligned} \tag{$C1-9$}$$

Thus also:

$$\begin{aligned} 2F_{\mu\nu} =& ((\partial_\mu e_\nu - \partial_\nu e_\mu) - i\beta_\mu e_\nu + i\beta_\nu e_\mu) P_+ \\ &+ ((\partial_\mu \lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \lambda_\mu) + i\beta_\mu \lambda_\nu - i\beta_\nu \lambda_\mu) P_- \\ &((\partial_\mu \beta_\nu - \partial_\nu \beta_\mu) + ie_\mu \lambda_\nu - ie_\nu \lambda_\mu) J = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{$C1-10$}$$

The equations of motions (B1-3) are explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_\mu \rho + i\rho\beta_\mu - i\alpha e_\mu &= 0 \\ -\partial_\mu \sigma - i\sigma\beta_\mu + i\alpha\lambda_\mu &= 0 \\ \partial_\mu \alpha - i\rho\lambda_\mu + i\sigma e_\mu &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{$C1-11$}$$

The two equations requiring the vanishing of the P_+ and P_- components of the electric field can be used to express the gauge field β_μ in terms of the other two gauge fields λ_μ and e_μ by:

$$(C1-12) \qquad \beta_\mu = \frac{e_\mu \varepsilon^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho \lambda_\sigma + \lambda_\mu \varepsilon^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho e_\sigma}{det(e,\lambda)} \qquad det(e,\lambda) \equiv \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} e_\mu \lambda_\nu .$$

Assigning to e_μ, λ_μ the zweibein roles, the J component in eq. (C1-10) can be rewritten as:

$$R = 1$$

where R is defined by analogy to be:

$$(C1-13) \qquad R \equiv \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu \beta_\nu}{det(e,\lambda)}.$$

R looks as a Ricci curvature scalar. The gauge equations of motion can be reinterpreted as an equation for constant curvature which could have been derived from a purely gravitational theory of the form

$$(C1-14) \qquad S = \int \eta(R-1)\sqrt{g}d^2x$$

where η is a Lagrange multiplier [14]. The reinterpretation of the symmetries of the equations of motion and the possibility to recast the gauge equations in a gravitational form suggest the emergence of a metric in the topological gauge theory.

Before trying to understand what this classical metric emergence means quantum mechanically we wish to reinstate a role for the scalar fields ϕ^i in the analysis. First note that the equations of motion (C1-11) require that

$$(C1-15) \qquad tr\phi^2 \equiv M^2 = 2\rho\sigma - \alpha^2$$

be constant in both space and time. In section B we have seen that the spatial components of eq. (C1-11) were the Gauss's law in the $A_0 = 0$ gauge so that

$$(C1-16) \qquad \partial_1(M^2) = 0$$

was also valid at the quantum level. The vanishing of $\partial_t M^2$ follows also quantum mechanically from the vanishing of H.

C2. *Constructing an H invariant metric.*

Following the gauge theory point of view, as expressed in the former section, any classical choice of a configuration ϕ of a given "length" M^2, would result in the "breaking" of the group $G = SO(2,1)$ down to a one generator sub group H of $SO(2,1)$. As the Hamiltonian vanishes identically, the classical "potential" is flat and any configuration ϕ^a of given length M^2 is allowed. For $M^2 > 0$ $SO(2,1)$ could be viewed as broken to a compact $U(1)$, while for $M^2 < 0$ the residual symmetry is a non compact $O(1,1)$.

In both cases the axis of the remaining symmetry is determined by the configuration ϕ^a. (The $M^2 = 0$ cases will be treated separately). Realizing that residual $SO(2,1)$ gauge invariance will require a well defined superposition of all ϕ^a on an orbit of a given allowed M^2, we follow the original suggestion as used for the monopole configuration [12].

The gauge fields are decomposed in terms of a longitudinal coordinate, parallel to the ϕ^a field and two transverse coordinates in the orthonormal directions.

For this purpose we choose the following orthogonal basis

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{e}_L &= \frac{\phi}{|\phi|} \equiv \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{M^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^2}}[\rho P_+ + \frac{M^2+\alpha^2}{2\rho}P_- + \alpha J] \\
\widehat{e}_{T_1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-(M^2+\alpha^2)}}(-\rho P_+ + \frac{M^2+\alpha^2}{2\rho}P_-) \\
\widehat{e}_{T_2} &= \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha^2}{M^2(M^2+\alpha^2)}}(\rho P_+ + \frac{M^2+\alpha^2}{2\rho}P_- + \frac{M^2+\alpha^2}{\alpha}J)
\end{aligned} \tag{C2-1}$$

here we have expressed the field σ in terms of ρ and α.

Decomposing in that basis the vector field A_μ

$$A_\mu = E_{L_\mu}\widehat{e}_L + E_{T_{1\mu}}\widehat{e}_{T_1} + E^1_{T_{2\mu}}\widehat{e}_{T_2} \tag{C2-2}$$

E_{L_μ} is the gauge field component in the direction of the surviving symmetry. The transition from the basis (C1-1) to that of eq. (C2-1) is in fact a group rotation which either rotates $\sqrt{2}P_1$ to $\widehat{e}_L$ if M^2 is positive or rotates J to $\widehat{e}_L$ if M^2 is negative. The invariant metric as well as the Lie algebra structure is also preserved by such a rotation. Hence,
$[\widehat{e}_L, \widehat{e}_{T_1}] = +(-)2i\widehat{e}_{T_2}; [\widehat{e}_L, \widehat{e}_{T_2}] = +(-)2i\widehat{e}_{T_1}$ with the signs depending on the sign of M^2. Therefore $[\widehat{e}_L A_\mu] = 2i(+(-)E_{T_{1\mu}}\widehat{e}_{T_2} + (-)E_{T_{2\mu}}\widehat{e}_{T_1}$. Rewriting eq. (C1-5)

$$A_\mu = e_\mu P_+ + \lambda_\mu P_- + \beta_\mu J \tag{C1-5}$$

Gauss' law allows to express two gauge field components (say β_μ and λ_μ) in terms of the third one (e_μ) and the components of ϕ. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^{cl}_\mu &= -\frac{i\partial_\mu\rho}{\rho} + \frac{\alpha}{\rho}e_\mu \\
\lambda^{cl}_\mu &= -\frac{i\partial_\mu\alpha}{\rho} + \frac{M^2+\alpha^2}{2\rho^2}e_\mu .
\end{aligned} \tag{C2-3}$$

Thus

$$(C2-4) \qquad \begin{aligned} E_{L_\mu} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^2}}(\frac{M^2}{\rho}e_\mu - i\partial_\mu\alpha + i\frac{\alpha\partial_\mu\rho}{\rho}) \\ E_{T_{1\mu}} &= \frac{\partial_\mu\alpha}{\sqrt{M^2+\alpha^2}} \\ E_{T_{2\mu}} &= -\frac{\alpha\partial_\mu\alpha}{M\sqrt{M^2+\alpha^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{M^2+\alpha^2}}{\rho M}\partial_\mu\rho. \end{aligned}$$

First note that the transverse fields are independent of e_μ, we will show that they transform as regular vectors under H, so they can play the role of a zweibein. We will also show that the longitudinal component E_{L_μ} transforms as the appropriate gauge field of the residual gauge group H.

A gauge transformation in H is defined by a gauge parameter

$$(C2-5) \qquad \lambda(x) = \varepsilon(x)\widehat{e}_L$$

and, under it, A_μ transforms as

$$(C2-6) \qquad \delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu\lambda + [A_\mu, \lambda] = \widehat{e}_L\partial_\mu\varepsilon + \varepsilon\partial_\mu\widehat{e}_L + \varepsilon[A_\mu, \widehat{e}_L].$$

The first term is by definition longitudinal while the last two are transverse because

$$(C2-7) \qquad \begin{cases} Tr(\widehat{e}_L\partial_\mu\widehat{e}_L) = \frac{1}{2}Tr\partial_\mu\widehat{e}_L^2 = 0 \\ Tr\{\widehat{e}_L[A_\mu, \widehat{e}_L]\} = Tr(\widehat{e}_L A_\mu\widehat{e}_L) - Tr(\widehat{e}_L\widehat{e}_L A_\mu) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Recalling that $\widehat{e}_L = \phi/\sqrt{M^2}$ we realize that Gauss' law implies the cancellation of the last two terms in eq. (C2-6) and thus that $A_{\mu T}$ does not transform under H. This means that $\vec{E}_{T_\mu} \cdot \vec{\widehat{e}}_T$ is invariant. Therefore $\vec{E}_T$ transforms as $\vec{\widehat{e}}$ and thus as a regular vector under H.

On the other hand

$$(C2-8) \qquad \delta E_{L_\mu} = \partial_\mu\varepsilon$$

showing that E_L transforms as an H gauge field.

Once proven that $E^a_{T_i}$ are 2-d space time vectors transforming as vectors under H - as zweibeins should do - we may define the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, invariant under the residual gauge group H, as

$$(C2-9) \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = Tr((E_{T_{1\mu}}\widehat{e}_{T_1} + E_{T_{2\mu}}\widehat{e}_{T_2})(E_{T_{1\nu}}\widehat{e}_{T_1} + E_{T_{2\nu}}\widehat{e}_{T_2}))$$

Notice that the norms squared of the three basis elements defined in eq. (C2-1) depend on M and on α. The signature: $[\widehat{e}^2_L, \widehat{e}^2_{T_1}, \widehat{e}^2_{T_1}]$ is: $[+1, -1, -1]$ if $M^2 > 0$; $[-1, -1, +1]$ if $M^2 < 0$ and $M^2 + \alpha^2 > 0$; $[-1, +1, -1]$ if $M^2 < 0$ and $M^2 + \alpha^2 < 0$, accordingly the definition of the metric in eq. (C2-9) is $g_{\mu\nu} = E_{T_{1_\mu}} E_{T_{1_\nu}} + E_{T_{2_\mu}} E_{T_{2_\nu}}$ if $M^2 > 0$ $g_{\mu\nu} = E_{T_{1_\mu}} E_{T_{1_\nu}} - E_{T_{2_\mu}} E_{T_{2_\nu}}$ if $M^2 < 0$

On the other hand, from Gauss' law

$$(C2-10) \qquad \frac{\partial_\mu \phi}{M} = -[A_\mu, \frac{\phi}{M}] = -[A_\mu, \widehat{e}_L]$$

meaning that in gauge space $\partial\phi$ is transverse, has the same modulus as $\vec{A}_T$ and is perpendicular to it.

Therefore

$$(C2-11) \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{Tr(\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi)}{2M^2}.$$

Let us comment on this result. We notice first that the induced metric is not only locally invariant under H but also globally under G. Since the surface $Tr\phi^2 = M^2$ embedded in the 3 dimensional ϕ space with invariant metric, has a constant curvature proportional to $\frac{1}{M^2}$, the metric in eq. (C2-11) induced on the world sheet has a constant, M independent curvature. Notice that for $M^2 > 0$ it has Euclidean signature while Minkowski signature emerges for $M^2 < 0$.

We could have defined the zweibeins, or the metric, by multiplying the E_T in eq. (C2-4) or $\partial_\mu \phi$ by an arbitrary function of M (the only H invariant function) to obtain any (possibly M dependent) constant value for the curvature.

Let us now pause to consider what actually is the extent of the classical promise for a formation of a metric.

As $M^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} tr\phi^2$ is constant, one may use it to classify ϕ^a configurations. For a given value of M^2, all non constant configurations lead, by the equations of motion, to induced world sheet metrics which differ only by a gauge transformation, for non singular metrics they differ only by a diffeomorphism as well. These metrics, when invertible, lead all to the same constant curvature, that induced by the group. Singular metrics lead to locally ill-defined curvatures, for constant configurations, the metric vanishes globally as well.

Thus classically, up to diffeomorphism and to topological considerations, there exists only one induced metric, the theory allows the existence of a fixed metric, a metric with some singularities as well as of no metric.

The quantum theory can either wash out or maintain that structure, it may also add quantum fluctuations to the metric based configurations. We will find out that the quantum theory does maintain the structure; however as the theory is topological many of the equations of motion are valid quantum mechanically limiting severly the allowed quantum fluctuations of the induced metric. Put differently, pure 2-d quantum gravity is in any case at best a topological theory, one can't expect much more than the appearance of a space with very rigid curvature.

C3. *Quantum mechanical analysis-flat potential.*

As we have stated several times, an intriguing structure that emerges in topological theories is a flat potential even at the quantum level. One may choose any wave functional obeying Gauss' law and inquire as to the type of universe encoded in it.

We first consider physical states confined to a fixed value of M^2. They can be classified in many respects according to the sign of M^2

Among all orbits a special role is played by the one defined by

$$(C3-1) \qquad \phi^a = (0,0,0); M^2 = 0.$$

This orbit consists of a single ϕ configuration. It maintains the full $SO(2,1)$ gauge symmetry and all the world sheet is mapped into a single point in target space - the tip of the light-cone. By eqs. (C2-14) (C2-17) this constant map does not induce a metric, the theory is purely topological. The wave functional carries also no phase. (In the $\Psi(A_i)$ picture, the choice of a singlet representation allows all holonomies with equal amplitude). This wave functional carries some analogy to the $\phi^a = 0$ special point of flat potentials. It represents a group singlet and its choice breaks no symmetry.

Symmetry is broken on the other orbits. Let's first consider an orbit with $M^2 > 0$.

In a sense all these M^2 orbits are equivalent (just as different values of $(\phi^a)^2$ in the case of a flat potential), they describe two sheeted hyperboloids and the euclidean metric on these hyperbolids is pulled back to the world sheet.

The support of the wave functional, as discussed in detail in section B, lies in $\frac{SO(2,1)}{T}$, for any non-constant value of ϕ^a, eq. (C1-20) leads in target space to a metric which has a Euclidean target space signature and to the same target space constant curvature.

Fixed ϕ^a configurations on the other hand map the world sheet into a single point target space, not allowing therefore any target space as well as world sheet metric interpretation. Constant maps do not separate between different space points. Their totality maps the full target space, but one can't associated metric to any of them. For constant map the phase of the wave functional associated with a target space area also vanishes.

Points for which a configuration $\phi(x)$ has vanishing first derivative cannot participate in a metric space.

The wave functional describes a very rigid gravity. All non-constant configurations are general coordinate equivalent (or equivalently gauge equivalent) and thus describe closed loops on a single manifold of fixed curvature (up to topological obstructions). The singular configurations are gauge but not diffeomorphic equivalent and describe topological pockets, their measure is not clearly defined and thus we can only state their presence. The above is just the restatement of the relation between gauge and co-ordinate transformations.

For $M^2 < 0$ the manifold described is the one sheeted hyperboloid with a Minkowski metric induced by the group metric. For $M^2 = 0$ one obtains the light like metric. A wave function which is a superposition of different values of M^2 can also be considered, including the superposition of different metric signatures (following from different sign of M^2).

A contracted form of the group $SO(2,1) \times U(1)$ was also given a gravitational meaning [7], [8] (actually the euclidean group $E(2)$ was extended). In fact it was suggested to relate it to a theory of the type

$$\int d^2x \sqrt{g}(\eta R - \Lambda) \tag{C3-2}$$

which has in particular zero curvature. Indeed as seen from eq. (B3-9) the metric induced from the group is flat and thus the curvature vanishes as in eq. (C3-2).

In [7], [8] a metric of the type of eq. (C1-6) modified by a multiplication by a factor $(\phi^0)^{-1}$ was also constructed. That metric was identical to that of a two dimensional black hole [15].

In a sense any symmetric tensor can be used to induce a metric however the only $U(1)$ invariant type metrics we can construct are of the form of a product of some function of M^2 time eq. (C1-20) and thus are all non-singular.

Nevertheless, let's go along with the black hole interpretation of the states and see the implications. Denote by M^2, defined in eq. (B3-8) the mass of the black hole and ϕ^0_D some $U(1)$ electric field. First note that it may be not so easy to identify in an exact quantum mechanically solution a black hole. After all the exact theory may have resolved the black hole singularity as well as its other malises.

We remark that the Hilbert space connects only states labelled by M it seems that there is only one state for each M. If one wishes to consider the spacial horizon as containing only 2 points the result could confirm the counting of black hole entropy. On the other hand the standard 2-d thermodynamic arguments lead to an entropy proportional to M. In the absence of matter it is difficult to decide to which result to attribute the entropy. The result holds for a balck hole with a fixed value C otherwise the number of black holes becomes infinity.

The black hole is stable, adding to it a source, one obtains a new configuration as shown in general in section B4.

In particular the "mass" of the black-hole (like any other electric field) gets shifted in between the sources, as does the electric field ϕ^0_D. The shift is proportional to the group charge which can be interpreted as particle momneta [7] in this case, echoing perhaps a shift in the horizon expected for a black hole in that case [7].

Had we studied a theory such as $SO(2,1) \otimes [SO(2,1) \times U(1)]$ one could have wave functionals that could describe a 2-d Minkowski space times a 2-d black-hole in overall four dimensions.

C4. *Target space aspects.*

As physics is determined by $\Psi(\phi(x))$, $\phi(x)$ may be assigned a role of a target space coordinate. This was done in particular in [7]. Moreover in a string picture $\phi(x)$ describes its configuration in target space. To appreciate the consequence of such an association it is maybe useful to consider first the case of compact G as for example $G = SU(2)$.

A basis for such a theory are configurations in which the string, in its entirety, must lie on a sphere with a fixed integer radius (constant M^2). This is not the conventional way a string moves on a manifold. In string theory there are limitations on the allowed configurations of a classical string but no regions in target space are excluded. While here only regions which lie on a shell (whose radius is positive) can be visited by the string. The union of these platonic orbits does not span the full R^3. While it is true we gain a target space of a metric meaning, what is obtained is a very limited target space. This limitation reflects the very scarce possibilities for fluctuation.

For the non compact case, $G = SO(2,1)$, the space spanned consists of the two sheeted hyperboloid, with an Euclidean metric and a quantization condition ($M^2 > 0$), a one sheeted hyperboloid ($M^2 < 0$), a light cone and its tip ($M^2 = 0$).

One may decide to assign the ϕ field the role of a conjugate momentum. In that case the target space role shifts to the manifold of gauge configurations. It is not by changing language that the phases will change.

In the ϕ picture for a fixed value of M^2, the wave functional is a pure phase and of the form $\Psi(\phi^a) = expiS(\phi^a)$, thus assigning equal probability to any configuration ϕ^a. This reflects the equivalence of configurations related by a gauge transformation.

The concept of an area does however reside in the phase as we have shown in the former section. In the calculation of expectation values of operators depending only on ϕ^a the wave functional will contribute as the identity.

In a more general wave functional of the form

$$\Psi(\phi^a) = expiS(\phi^a)F(M^2)$$

amplitudes for different values of M^2 will not interfere.

There is however one geometrical feature which will emerge.

Any given configuration ϕ^a corresponds to a target space metric, which has already made its imprint by allowing the appearance in the phase of the wave functional of the area bounded by the loop ϕ^a on the surface defined by M^2. This same metric can be used to define a corresponding length of the circumference of the world sheet circle. The value of this length does vary with ϕ^a, that is by definition is not locally $SO(2,1)$ invariant.

Nevertheless it will enable us to set a handle on the emergence of the rigid but existing metric, by evaluating an order parameter.

C5. *An order parameter.*

In the Hamiltonian formulations, what is left of the metric is just the g_{11} component, which is locally H but only globally G invariant.

Nevertheless following a general suggestion [6] for an order parameter for gravity we can mention the induced metric in full.

Let's first review the suggestion.

Assume one is given a quantum gravity described by some action S and one is given a loop (defined by $x^\mu(s)$ $0 \leq s \leq 1$) in space. We are essentially instructed to calculate the length of the loop averaging over the metrics.

One defines $Z(\mu)$ by,

$$(C5-1)$$
$$Z(\mu) =< exp-\mu \int_0^1 ds \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^\mu}{ds}\frac{dx^\nu}{ds}} >= \int D\chi \int Dg_{\mu\nu} exp(-S) exp(-\mu \int_0^1 ds \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^\mu}{ds}\frac{dx^\nu}{ds}})$$

χ representing possible other matter fields. Since eq. (C5-1) contains integration over all metrics, $Z(\mu)$ is independent on the labeling of the position of the loop. We can redefine Z, up to an infinite μ independent, constant, to include an integration over all these positions.

$$(C5-2) \qquad Z(\mu)=\int D\chi\int Dg_{\mu\nu}exp(-S)\int Dx^{\mu}(s)exp(-\mu\int\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}}).$$

Using the standard replacement (which is rather redefinition of $Z(\mu)$ than an identity) one obtains,

$$(C5-3)$$
$$Z(\mu)=\int D\chi Dg_{\mu\nu}exp(-S)\int Dx^{\mu}(s)De(s)exp(-\mu\int_0^1(\frac{g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\mu}(s))}{e(s)}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{ds}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{ds}+e(s))ds)$$

Gauge fixing e to a constant value L given for each $e(s)$ by:

$$(C5-4) \qquad \int_0^1 e(s)ds=L$$

and assuming no ghosts (they actually renormalize the one dimensional cosmological constant), one has

$$(C5-5) \qquad Z(\mu)=\int dL\int D\chi Dgexp(-S)\int Dx^{\mu}(s)exp(-\mu L-\frac{1}{L}\int_0^1 dsg_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{ds}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{ds})$$

so that the Laplace transform $Z(L)$ is given by:

$$(C5-6) \qquad Z(L)=<\int Dx^{\mu}(s)exp-\frac{1}{L}\int dsg_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{ds}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{ds}>$$

which is the average of the propagator of a quantum particle moving on the fluctuating manifold.

It was suggested [6] to search for the small L dependence of eq. (C5-6) in a general model and parametrize it by $L^{\frac{-D}{2}}$ at small L. D would then represent the effective dimensionality of the quantum geometry. $D=0$ would indicate a topological phase.

In our case we can pick the test loop of eq. (C5-1) to be a fixed time slice of the manifold and calculate the expectation value of eq. (C5-1) in the Hamiltonian formalism using the explicit wave functionals. For a wave functional corresponding to a fixed value of M, eq. (C5-1) becomes,

$$(C5-7) \qquad Z(\mu)=\int D\phi(x)|\Psi(\phi(x))|^2exp(-\mu\int\sqrt{g_{11}(x)}dx)$$

Ψ being a pure phase drops out of the expression, substituting for g_{11} the induced metric eq. (C2-11)(*) one obtains

$$(C5-8) \qquad Z(\mu) = \int D\phi(x) exp(-\mu \int \sqrt{B_{ab}(\phi^{\mu}) d\phi^a d\phi^b}).$$

where $B_{ab} d\phi^a d\phi^b = \frac{1}{M^2} Tr(d\phi d\phi)$. Using again the standard replacement

$$(C5-9) \qquad Z(\mu) = \int D\phi(x) De(x) exp(-\mu \int (\frac{B_{ab}(\phi^{\mu}(x))}{e(x)} \frac{d\phi^a}{dx} \frac{d\phi^b}{dx} + e(x)) dx)$$

and the above gauge fixing leads to the Laplace transform,

$$(C5-10) \qquad Z(L) = \int D\phi(x) exp(-\frac{1}{L} \int_0^1 dx B_{ab}(\phi(x)) \frac{d\phi^a}{dx} \frac{d\phi^b}{dx})$$

which describes the motion of a quantum particle on the target space, the two-dimensional orbit endowed with the metric B_{ab}. The short distance behavior of this propagator corresponds to $D = 2$, the dimension of target space. For the wave functional representing the singlet orbit one obtains $D = 0$.

For the one and the two sheeted hyperboloids endowed with the group metric the exact result for $Z(L)$ may be obtained [16]; the highest order in $\frac{1}{L}$ reveals the dimensionality of the manifold while non leading orders will provide other properties as the curvature. Thus gauge invariant information (such as D and R) can be extracted from an object which is not itself fully gauge-invariant).

In the gauge field representation we have also evaluated the wave functional in the presence of external charges noticing that the topological theory forms tensionless strings(**). We have also noted that these strings will gain tension once the theory is deformed by adding a perturbation $tr\phi^2$. From the gravitational point of view the modified theory has less symmetry than the topological theory, it is a function of the world sheet area. It does have however more symmetries than a usual GCI theory as no metric needs to be introduced in order to obtain invariance under area preserving transformations.

(*) by Gauss' law eq. (B2-6) the replacement $\partial_x \phi \leftrightarrow -[A, \phi]$ is valid also in quantum mechanics, forming the composite operator assumes no short distances subtellies otherwise it is a definition of g_{11}

(**) The inverse property, i.e. that tensionless strings provide topological theories, has recently been advocated [18].

It is interesting that this intermediate realization of GCI has an identical Hilbert space to that of the topological realization, where as we have discussed the $tr\phi^2$ term acts as a "magnetic" field in removing the energy degeneracy of the states.

One could also deform the ϕF model and remain topological. As shown in [17] the addition of an action such as, $\mu \int d^2x\sqrt{g}R(tr\phi^2)$, spans one direction in the moduli space of topological theories in which $\phi \cdot F$ theories are members. One call add also other group invariant functions of ϕ to parametrize more directions in moduli space. For such theories the value of the one point functions such as $tr\phi^2$, would be deformed (from the gauge theory point of view) but the energy of all states would remain zero. In [17] it was shown that some topological theories with a finite number of observables can be rewritten as string theories of other topological thoeries. The same is true for the $\phi * F$ theory, and stems from the it's relation to the Chern-Simmons theory. It was shown [19] that a CS theory with an $SU(N)$ group can be rewritten as a string theory of open string on various Calabi-Yau manifolds . The level k of the CS theory serves as a dilaton expansion parameter. In the limit of very large k one obtains on the one hand the 2-d $\phi * F$ theory as an effective string theory and on another hand the string theory itself is the large k limit of the Calabi-Yau theories. This is an example of an effective field theory of a theory with an infinite though discrete number of observables.

C6. *Product group and a possibility for scale generation.*

In the commutation relation of eq. (C1-1) a scale Λ appeared explicitly and has been set to one. One could consider in general ϕF theories for very large and maybe even infinite groups, one could further obtain effective theories with reduced symmetry, by considering special orbits along the flat potential. However as the group rank is conserved (the scalar ϕ is in the adjoint representation) the reduced symmetry has to contain a bunch of $U(1)$ like factors. One could also consider product of groups, for the gravitational interpretation this would allow having products of various universes.

There is one issue which actually leads us to product groups and that is the issue of scale invariance, one would expect topological theories to be scale invariant, however for Einstein like realization of GCL one could have in principle both scale invariant realizations (for example if conformal gravity made sense) and non scale invariant realizations (manifest by Newton's constant).

For the gravitational models of the $\phi \cdot F$ type a scale seems to be explicitly involved in the commutation relations (eq. C1-1). Following of the idea [20] that the Poincaré and

De-Sitter groups may offer as spontaneously broken realization of a conformal theory we gauge the group $SO(2,2)$ which is the anomaly free sub-group of the infinite dimensional conformal group in 2 dimensions. We may eventually wish also to construct a $\phi \cdot F$ theory for the full Virasoro group.

For $SO(2,2)$ one can construct all commutation relation such that no scale appears, moreover as $SO(2,2)$ is actually locally a product of two $SO(2,1)$ factors, we use the following relations,

$$[J+D, P_+] = -2iP_+ \qquad [J-D, K_+] = -2iK_+$$

$$[J+D, K_-] = 2iK_- \qquad [J-D, P_-] = 2iP_-$$

$$[P_+, K_-] = 2i(J+D) \qquad [K_+, P_-] = 2i(J-D)$$

P_+, P_- are the translation operators, K_+, K_- the operators related to special conformal transformations, J, is the Lorentz boost and D is the dilatation operator.

No scale is involved in these commutations relations. The two ϕ^i, however, have components of different dimensionality so that the adimensional Casimirs

$$M_i^2 = 2\sigma_i\rho_i - \alpha_i^2 \qquad (i = 1,2)$$

are made up by opposite dimensional ρ_i and σ_i quantities. A non zero field configuration would therefore provide a scale. The wave functionals of this model, treated as a $G \times G$ one, may describe universes varying from a point to a product of two Euclidean two-sheeted hyperbolids.

In the point solution, for which the wave functional is a product of the wave functionals representing the singlet in both $SO(2,1)$'s, clearly no scale is generated. The same is true for constant (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) configurations as the group invariant quantities that may be constructed with them (such as $tr\phi_1^2 + tr\phi_2^2$) introduce no scale.

However as the wave functionals not representing the group singlet necessarily have support on non constant configurations, the dimensionfull fields $\rho_i(x)$ and $\sigma_i(x)$ do provide a scale.

Concluding Remarks.

Induced metric theories have a general coordinate invariance without introducing ab initio a metric - and thus a graviton - and as such they fall in the cathegory of classical topological systems.

Field quantum fluctuations generate a metric that will at its time fluctuate at higher orders of this semiclassical approach. An approach which is however badly suited to reveal [21] how the underlying topology inhibits large metric fluctuations.

We have exploited the exact solvability of the 2-d ϕF theory to find that the induced metric generated in the semiclassical approach emerges also at the quantum level. There exist a quantum state for which the gauge symmetry is unbroken and which corresponds to a non metric universe. For other states the gauge symmetry is broken and a metric appears. Some quantization conditions of the invariant Casimirs limit the possible classical field configurations. The induced gravity shows very rigid properties (constant curvature) that may be assigned to the lack of metric fluctuations in 2-dtheories.

We recognize that the systems we study - while simple enough to exibit at a full quantum level the generation of a metric - perhaps too simple to shed light on how induced metric theories succeed in taming the wild fluctuations of quantum gravity. Indeed, the models studied and solved exactly have too few degrees of freedom to allow for metric fluctuations.

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank E.Witten, T.Banks and Ch.Grosche for discussions; E. Rabinovici has been partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation, the Bi-National American-Israeli Science Foundation, the NSF Grant #PHY92-45317 and The Ambros Monell Foundation; S.Elitzur by the Israel Science Foundation; D.Amati by the EEC Grant SC1*-CT92-0792. The efficient secretarial help of Claudia Parma and Erika Zynda are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] A.D.Sakharov, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 12 (1968), 1040; D.Amati and G.Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B204 (1982), 451.

[2] E.Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 117 (1988), 353; Nucl. Phys. B311 (1988/89) 46.

[3] E.Witten, Nucl. Phys. B311 (1988/89) 46.

[4] A.Chamseddine and D.Wyler, Phys. Lett. B228 (1989) 75; Nuclear Physics B 340 (1990) 595; K.Isler and C.A.Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 834; D.Montano and J.Sonnenschein Nucl. Phys. B324(1989) 348.

[5] E.Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 141 (1991) 153.

[6] A.M.Polyakov, Lecture presented at Les-Houches 1992, Princeton University, preprint.

[7] H.Verlinde, String theory and quantum gravity, ICTP, 1991; World Scient. p. 178 1992, Sixth Marcel Grossman Conference Meeting on General Relativity M.Sato, ed. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).

[8] D.Cangemi and R.J.Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 233; R.Jackiw, MIT preprint, CTP 2105 (1993).

[9] E.Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351.

[10] S.Elitzur, G.Moore, A.Schwimmer and N.Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B326 (1989) 104.

[11] A.Alekseev, L.Fadeev and S.Shatashvili, Journal of Geometry and Phys. 5 (1989) 391.

[12] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B79 (1974) 276; A.M.Polyakov, JEPT Lett. 20 (1974) 194.

[13] N. Seiberg, Notes on Quantum Liouville Theory and Quantum Gravity. In: Common Trends in Mathematics and Quantum Field Theory, Proc. of the 1990 Yu' Progress of Theo. Phys. Sup. 102 319 (1990).Edited by T.Eguchi, T. Inami and T. Miwa. To appear in the Proc. of the Cargese meeting, Random Surfaces, Quantum Gravity and Strings, 1990. RU-90-29.

[14] C.Teitelbaum, Phys. Lett. B126 (1983): in Quantum theory of gravity, S.Christensen ed. (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984); R.Jackiw, ibid; Nucl. Phys. B252 (1985) 343.

[15] K. Bardakci, M.Crescimanno and E.Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B349; S.Elitzur, A.Forge and E.Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 581; E.Witten, Phys. Rev. D44 (1991) 314.

[16] C.Grosche and F.Steiner, Ann. Phys. 182 (1988) 120; C.Grosche, in preparation.

[17] S.Elitzur, A.Forge and E.Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 581.

[18] J.Isberg, V.Lindstrom, B.Sundbourg and G.Theodoridis, VSITP-93-12; A.Schild, Phys. Rew. D16 (1977) 1722.

[19] E.Witten, IAS Preprint 92/45, 1992.

[20] S.Fubini, Nuovo Cimento 34A, 521 (1976).

[21] cf. however D.Amati and J.Russo, Phys. rew. Lett. B248 (1990), 44-50.